

Artificial Intelligence in Employee Evaluations: Fairness and Effectiveness in Nagpur's IT Sector

Roma Kumari Gupta¹, Dr. Chandrabhan M. Tembhurnekar²

¹Research Scholar Department of Business Management RTMNU, Nagpur

²Research Supervisor Department of Business Management RTMNU, Nagpur

Cite this paper as: Roma Kumari Gupta, Dr. Chandrabhan M. Tembhurnekar (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Employee Evaluations: Fairness and Effectiveness in Nagpur's IT Sector. *Frontiers in Health Informatics*, 13 (8) 6507-6513

Abstract:

By automating the review process, providing data-driven insights, and improving decision-making, artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed conventional performance evaluation methods. But there are still serious worries about prejudice, lack of transparency, and unfairness in performance rating systems powered by AI. This research takes a look at the IT sector in Nagpur to see how well and fairly AI-based performance assessment methods work. In order to gauge how IT workers and HR managers feel about AI-driven performance reviews, this study uses a mixed-methods strategy, using both survey and interview data. Critical aspects including precision, impartiality, reducing prejudice, trust among employees, and organisational buy-in are examined. The results show that AI-powered assessments are more efficient and consistent, which are great advantages, but they also address problems like algorithmic bias and a lack of human empathy. The report wraps up with suggestions for improving AI-based evaluation systems to make sure they're fair, transparent, and make employees happy. This study adds to the current conversation on the moral implications of using AI in HRM by shedding light on the topic for politicians, businesses, and IT engineers.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Performance Appraisal, Fairness, Effectiveness, IT Industry, Employee Evaluations, Nagpur, HR Technology.

Introduction

One area of human resource management that has been profoundly affected by the fast development of AI is performance assessment. Organisations are rapidly embracing AI-powered performance assessment solutions to boost productivity, reduce bias, and get data-driven insights into employees' work. Artificial intelligence-based solutions provide a viable substitute for conventional evaluation techniques in the information technology sector, where fast-paced workplaces and performance criteria need accuracy. There is still a lot of back and forth about using AI for performance reviews because many are worried about its lack of transparency, fairness, and ethical consequences.

Examining the IT sector of Nagpur, this research delves into the efficacy and equity of performance evaluation systems powered by AI. There are still issues with algorithmic bias, a lack of human empathy, and employee trust, even if AI has several advantages including objective evaluations, automatic feedback systems, and real-time data. The purpose of this research is to find out whether AI-powered performance reviews help keep things fair and honest or if they unintentionally cause problems that hinder advancement opportunities and morale in the workplace.

The perspectives of IT experts and HR managers on AI-powered performance reviews are investigated in this empirical study. System correctness, equity, employee acceptability, and ethical issues are some of the important factors that are examined. The purpose of this research is to analyse these elements in order to shed light on the possibilities of performance assessment systems driven by AI and to give solutions to make them more fair and effective.

Information technology companies, government agencies, and tech companies will all benefit from this study's results, which will add to the larger conversation on artificial intelligence's use in HRM. The overarching goal of the project is to establish a connection between ethical workforce management and technical progress so that AI-driven evaluation systems adhere to standards of equity, openness, and employee welfare.

Review of relevant literature

The use of AI to assess workers' productivity has been the subject of many earlier investigations. In the first of these studies, Kshetri (2021) looked at how several top tech businesses use AI for employee performance reviews. The application of AI enhanced evaluation objectivity and fairness while speeding it up, according to this research. Then, Abdulmajeed (2021) compared the efficacy and precision of conventional and artificial intelligence-based performance assessment techniques. Artificial intelligence (AI) outperformed manual assessment techniques in terms of consistency and accuracy. And lastly, Wagner (2020) looked at how AI affected the process of finding new personnel. Organisations may benefit from more efficient development programs thanks to AI's ability to accurately predict future leaders, according to this research.

Despite the abundance of literature on AI for performance reviews, there are still some unanswered questions. To start, there are a variety of settings and industries to consider; for example, the majority of prior research has been on tech businesses or major corporations. Nevertheless, there is a need for more study on the use of AI in performance assessment in many industrial settings, including small and medium-sized businesses. The next set of considerations are the ethical and trust issues that arise from using AI for the purpose of evaluating employees' performance. We need more studies to figure out how businesses deal with privacy and ethical concerns while using AI. Lastly, we have Impact on Organisational Culture and Employees. That is, we need to investigate how incorporating AI into performance reviews influences employees' motivation, productivity, and overall happiness on the job. Furthermore, studies should also determine the effects of AI on company culture as a whole. The study's goals are to (1) learn about the ethical and trust issues surrounding AI's deployment as a performance assessment tool for workers in different industries, and (2) assess the effects of AI usage on organisational culture and personnel. The primary goal of this study is to shed light on how this novel strategy might enhance the efficacy and efficiency of performance reviews for employees while also contributing to human resource development.

Artificial intelligence (AI) offers several major benefits when used to performance assessment. To start, artificial intelligence helps make assessments less subjective and biased, leading to more reliable results (Huang & Rust, 2018). Artificial intelligence (AI) can make more objective and precise judgements by using data and analysis. Also, the performance review process may be made more efficient and shorter with the help of AI. Human resources departments and managers may save time and effort by using AI to automate most assessment procedures. Because of this, a lot of time may be saved and used for other important things. Decisions may also be based on data with the help of AI. Artificial intelligence allows for more thorough and precise data on employee performance to be accessible to management. With this information, managers may make better strategic judgements. Alrashedi and Abbod (2020) state that organisations may anticipate more precise, efficient, and comprehensive outcomes from performance evaluations that use AI technology. By using AI, the subjective and biased aspects of human judgement may be

eliminated, leading to better and more equitable evaluations. Also, HR teams and managers get more done in less time because to AI's efficiency in the review process. When it comes to making judgements, AI is a great help since it gives managers access to detailed data that they can use to back their choices with facts and do more thorough analyses. Improved objectivity, efficiency, and data-driven decision-making are just a few of the many major benefits that accrue when AI is used to performance assessment. In this age of ever-increasing connectedness and technological advancement, AI presents an opportunity to enhance the efficacy and quality of performance assessment procedures. Several obstacles must be surmounted before Artificial Intelligence (AI) may be effectively used to performance assessment. Data and technical restrictions are the first source of difficulty. Access to high-quality data and sufficient technical infrastructure are necessary for the effective implementation of AI (Rozman et al., 2022). In order to guarantee that AI can provide trustworthy findings when evaluating performance, it is crucial to gather accurate and comprehensive data. The organization's and culture's preparedness to cope with the changes brought about by the introduction of AI technology is another obstacle. Everyone in the company has to be on board with using AI for performance reviews for it to be a success. Artificial intelligence (AI) in performance assessment can only be successfully used in an environment that promotes openness to new technologies (Dabbous et al., 2021). The first stage in establishing a conducive setting for the use of AI technology is to foster mutual understanding and optimism among the members of the organisation. Furthermore, there are privacy and ethical concerns that need answering when using AI for performance reviews. Data gathering and usage practices involving employees raise some eyebrows. It is critical to safeguard the privacy of workers' data when using AI and to think about relevant ethical considerations. Ensuring confidence and integrity in the performance assessment process requires the establishment of clear and open regulations for data utilisation. Experts in artificial intelligence (AI), human resources (HR), and corporate management must work together to tackle these difficulties. The cultural, ethical, and technological hurdles of using AI in performance reviews need a multidisciplinary strategy. Fairness, openness, and privacy must be prioritised in this process, and the appropriate use of AI must be a top priority. All things considered, there are several problems with using AI for performance reviews that must be solved. Implementing AI in performance assessment may provide many advantages to organisations and individuals. However, it is important to understand and overcome data and technical limits, create a supportive organisation and culture, and address ethical and privacy problems.

Objectives of the Study

1. To evaluate the effectiveness of AI-driven performance appraisal systems in the IT industry of Nagpur.
2. To assess the fairness and transparency of AI-based employee evaluations.
3. To analyze employee perceptions and acceptance of AI-powered appraisal mechanisms.

Hypothesis

H₀ (Null Hypothesis): AI-driven performance appraisal systems do not significantly improve the effectiveness of employee evaluations in the IT industry of Nagpur.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): AI-driven performance appraisal systems significantly improve the effectiveness of employee evaluations in the IT industry of Nagpur.

Research Methodology

To assess the efficacy and equity of AI-driven performance evaluation systems in Nagpur's IT sector, this study uses a mixed-methods research strategy, combining quantitative and qualitative methodologies. The data was collected from Persistent Systems, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), Infosys, Hexaware Technologies, and Tech Mahindra. Information technology (IT) workers, human resources (HR) managers, and decision-makers whose roles include AI-based performance reviews are surveyed and interviewed in order to gather primary data. The poll asks employees to

rate their level of satisfaction with the system, its accuracy, its transparency, and its ability to mitigate bias using a Likert scale. Furthermore, interview-based qualitative data sheds light on organisational viewpoints, difficulties, and ethical considerations around AI-powered performance reviews. Reports from businesses, scholarly articles, and case studies from other companies make up the secondary data used to back up the research. To guarantee that people with relevant expertise with AI-driven evaluation systems participate in the research, a purposive selection approach is used. To analyse numeric replies, we employ statistical tools like SPSS; to analyse qualitative insights, we use theme analysis. We hope that the results will help inform evidence-based suggestions for making AI-driven performance rating systems more successful, fair, and widely accepted in the IT industry.

Data analysis and discussion

Table: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Variable	N	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Perceived Effectiveness of AI Appraisal (1-5)	200	4.12	0.85	2.0	5.0
Fairness of AI-driven Evaluations (1-5)	200	3.95	0.78	2.0	5.0
Transparency of AI Appraisal System (1-5)	200	4.05	0.81	2.0	5.0
Employee Trust in AI-based Appraisal (1-5)	200	3.89	0.92	1.0	5.0
Bias Perception in AI Appraisals (1-5, Reverse Coded)	200	4.08	0.79	2.0	5.0
Satisfaction with AI-driven Appraisal (1-5)	200	4.01	0.84	2.0	5.0

The descriptive data provide light on the efficacy and equity of Nagpur's IT sector's AI-powered performance evaluation systems. A mean score of 4.12 and a standard deviation of 0.85 on the Perceived Effectiveness of AI assessment indicates that the majority of respondents consider AI-based assessment systems to be successful, however there is considerable diversity in their views. A little lower mean of 3.95 (SD=0.78) for the Fairness of AI-driven Evaluations indicates that, while AI assessments are usually seen as fair, there could be worries about their equality.

Employees generally find AI-driven assessments straightforward and intelligible, as shown by the Transparency of AI Appraisal System score of 4.05 (SD = 0.81). While AI systems are generally well-received, some workers still have doubts about their dependability, as seen by the significantly lower Employee Trust in AI-based Appraisal at 3.89 (SD = 0.92).

The reverse-coded Bias Perception in AI Appraisals has a mean score of 4.08 (SD = 0.79), indicating that, on the whole, people see AI-driven systems as impartial, while there are still some worries. Last but not least, the mean score of 4.01 (SD=0.84) on the satisfaction with AI-driven appraisal indicates that employees are generally pleased with the results of these evaluations.

Overall, the results indicate that performance assessment systems powered by AI are generally seen as effective, fair, and transparent. However, there are some concerns about trust and possible biases. Responses are consistent, as seen by the comparatively low standard deviations across variables, which further strengthens the validity of these findings. These findings underscore the need of continuously improving AI-driven evaluation systems to boost employee confidence and resolve any remaining worries about bias and fairness.

Table: One-Sample t-test Results

Test Variable	N	Mean	Test Value	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval (Lower)	95% Confidence Interval (Upper)
Perceived Effectiveness of AI Appraisal	200	4.12	3.5	9.82	199	0.000 (p < 0.05)	0.62	0.50	0.74

We used the One-Sample t-test to find out whether the IT sector in Nagpur's performance appraisals are considerably better using AI-driven technologies. The test contrasted a neutral or moderate effectiveness level (3.5) with a mean perceived effectiveness score of 4.12 (on a 5-point scale). There were 199 degrees of freedom, and the t-statistic that was achieved was 9.82. Strong statistical significance was shown by the p-value (Sig. 2-tailed) of 0.000, which is less than 0.05. Thus, it's rather improbable that the disparity in perceived efficacy happened by accident. An average difference of 0.62 indicates that workers consider AI-powered performance reviews to be much more useful than the control group's evaluations. Even more convincing that the efficacy boost is statistically significant is the fact that zero is not included in the 95% confidence range (0.50 to 0.74).

The results show that AI-driven performance assessment systems in Nagpur's IT sector greatly enhance the efficacy of employee evaluations, since the p-value is less than the 0.05 threshold, allowing us to reject the null hypothesis (H_0) and accept the alternative hypothesis (H_1). These results point to a favourable view of AI-based assessment systems, which might improve performance reviews.

Conclusion

The IT companies surveyed like Persistent Systems, Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), Infosys, Hexaware Technologies, and Tech Mahindra in Nagpur, set out to assess the efficacy and equity of AI-powered performance review platforms. Perceived efficacy, equity, transparency, trust, and contentment with AI-driven assessments were among the important aspects investigated in this empirical research, which used descriptive statistics and hypothesis testing.

According to the results of a One-Sample t-test, the average effectiveness score (4.12) was much greater than the neutral benchmark (3.5) with a p-value of 0.000, confirming that AI-based assessment systems considerably improve the efficacy of employee assessments. Workers felt more trust and pleasure because they thought these procedures were fair and open. On the other hand, there were some voices raised about the need for human supervision and the possibility of bias in AI systems.

Results like these have real-world implications, showing that AI-driven assessment systems may help IT firms improve the reliability, validity, and efficiency of employee performance reviews. To avoid prejudice and maintain a healthy mix of AI automation and human judgement, businesses must constantly evaluate and improve these systems.

References

- Abbasi, M. F., Bilal, M., & Rasheed, K. (2022). Role of human intuition in AI-aided managerial decision-making: A review. 2022 International Conference on Decision Aid Sciences and Applications (DASA), 713–718. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:248517310>
- Abdulmajeed, E. W. (2021). Saudi Airlines cabin crew performance appraisal using artificial intelligence - The adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Applications. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:247872337>

- Achchab, S., & Temsamani, Y. K. (2021). Artificial intelligence use in human resources management: Strategy and operation's impact. 2021 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning (PRML), 311–315. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:237328919>
- Alrashedi, A., & Abbod, M. F. (2020). The effect of using artificial intelligence on performance of appraisal system: A case study for University of Jeddah staff in Saudi Arabia. *Intelligent Systems with Applications*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:224872992>
- Arora, R. G., & Siradhana, N. K. (2022). HR transformation through artificial intelligence: An insight through literature survey. *International Journal of Information Systems and Social Change*, 13(1), 1–16. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2525147406>
- Arslan, A., Cooper, C. D., Khan, Z., Golgeci, I., & Ali, I. (2021). Artificial intelligence and human workers interaction at team level: A conceptual assessment of the challenges and potential HRM strategies. *International Journal of Manpower*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2377287557>
- Dabbous, A., Barakat, K. A., & Sayegh, M. M. (2021). Enabling organizational use of artificial intelligence: An employee perspective. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2339321588>
- Dora, M., Kumar, A., Mangla, S. K., Pant, A., & Kamal, M. M. (2021). Critical success factors influencing artificial intelligence adoption in food supply chains. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60, 4621–4640. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2386778429>
- Filice, R. W., Mongan, J. T., & Kohli, M. D. (2020). Evaluating artificial intelligence systems to guide purchasing decisions. *Journal of the American College of Radiology: JACR*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:222257283>
- Gulliford, F., & Dixon, A. P. (2019). AI: The HR revolution. *Strategic HR Review*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:159308484>
- Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2018). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of Service Research*, 21(2), 155–172. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:169814393>
- Kabir, G., Sumi, R. S., Sadiq, R., & Tesfamariam, S. (2018). Performance evaluation of employees using Bayesian belief network model. *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management*, 13(2), 91–99. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:195989731>
- Kshetri, N. (2021). Evolving uses of artificial intelligence in human resource management in emerging economies in the global South: Some preliminary evidence. *Management Research Review*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:234129401>
- L, R., & P, G. (2022). Artificial intelligence and its utilization in HR and business analytics. *International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:252375301>
- Lin, S., Döngül, E. S., Uygun, S. V., Öztürk, M. B., Huy, D. T. N., & Tuan, P. Van. (2022). Exploring the relationship between abusive management, self-efficacy and organizational performance in the context of human-machine interaction technology and artificial intelligence with the effect of ergonomics. *Sustainability*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:246767987>
- Moşteanu, N. R. (2020). Challenges for organizational structure and design as a result of digitalization and cybersecurity. *Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*, 11. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:225207463>
- Rožman, M., Oreški, D., & Tominc, P. (2022). Integrating artificial intelligence into a talent management model to increase work engagement and performance of enterprises. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:253841870>

- Salehi, H., & Burgueño, R. (2018). Emerging artificial intelligence methods in structural engineering. *Engineering Structures*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:115436814>
- Saxena, P., Priyadarshini, I., Sharma, S., & Jora, R. B. (2022). Role of emotional and artificial intelligence on employee performance in service industry: A review of literature. *2022 8th International Conference on Advanced Computing and Communication Systems (ICACCS)*, 1, 1564–1567. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:249475399>